


To: [redacted] [redacted]@rivm.nl]; [redacted] [redacted]@rivm.nl]; [redacted] [redacted]@rivm.nl]  
From: [redacted]  
Sent: Mon 11/29/2021 4:10:42 PM  
Subject: FW: antigen tests - self and professional use  
Received: Mon 11/29/2021 4:10:43 PM  
[RATs self pro refs 29112021.xlsx](#)

Hi [redacted] colleagues,

Many thanks! Very insightful!  
The delta manuscript was originally sent to me by [redacted] so also my thanks to [redacted] in this case!  
The appendix, also for [redacted] is very insightful: comparing 11 (professional) antigen test cassettes on *in vitro* dilutions of different variants: [1-s2.0-S2666524721003025-mmc1.pdf \(els-cdn.com\)](#)  
The question remains I guess whether the cassettes, as studied here, are the same for professional and self tests. You already made a very logical assumption on that [redacted] and also stated that this would have to be checked with the manufacturers, but indeed it does seem logical to have the same cassettes and only change the swabbing method.  
I will also add some comments below in green!

Bye, good evening! [redacted]



[redacted] [redacted]

[redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted]

Dubbel

[redacted] [redacted]

[redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] [redacted]

2 - 4

Dubbel