

To: 5.1.2e [redacted]@lchulpmiddelen.nl | 5.1.2e [redacted]@lchulpmiddelen.nl
Cc: 5.1.2e [redacted] | 5.1.2e [redacted]@amsterdammc.nl; 5.1.2e [redacted] | 5.1.2e [redacted]@minvws.nl
From: 5.1.2e [redacted]
Sent: Tue 4/21/2020 6:51:01 PM
Subject: Re: PO Relief Goods Alliance (RGA)
Received: Tue 4/21/2020 6:51:50 PM
[Order Ryzur DEKRA 20200324 \(3\).pdf](#)
[341710600 Anhui RYZUR AB2 2020-04-07 \(2\).pdf](#)
[341688000 Anhui RYZUR AB 2020-03-25.pdf \(3\).pdf](#)
[ShengQuan Masker Serie nummers \(1\).pdf](#)

Goedemiddag 5.1.2e [redacted]

Thanks for your response yesterday. We would like to clarify a few noted items.

Ryzur

On Ryzur we can tell you that a DEKRA-report is on its way in Germany at our request. Please find enclosed the quotation (dd.24/3) and order confirmation (d.d. 7/4) from DEKRA to Ryzur for testing their mask on FFP1, FFP2 and also FFP3 standards. This evening we learned that Ryzur passed for CE with DEKRA, but it is not certain yet whether this is for FFP2 or even more. It is FFP2-level at a minimum. The report is expected to be issued tomorrow, Wednesday. On request, this report will be available for you. On the one hand we trust this will satisfy your need in terms of documentation, on the other hand, there is a real chance that the mask we have proposed will be verified as a FFP3-quality by DEKRA.

Should this be the case tomorrow, we fear Ryzur may increase their price significantly. We are trying to mitigate this from our end already, but we can't control this 100%.

Important note:

The best possible mitigation is to confirm the order to Ryzur before they receive the official DEKRA report on the precondition that the DEKRA report proves that the mask is at least of FFP2 standard in line with EN149:2001 + A1:2009 with a Conformity Verification Document in the purchase order.

The factory is willing to include a guarantee that the mask will score at least 95% on 0.3 (!) micron on the TU Delft particle test. Please be so kind to elaborate about the CE-verification process as we agreed in the discussions this week that this is not the ultimate proof of quality. We have been asked by VWS to present the factories with the highest quality based on actual tests. We can already assure you that Ryzur is one of them. If the CE-documentation instead of product quality is the most important metric, we will change the factories accordingly and use Ryzur for other client channels. We believe that Ryzur provides excellent value for money, as does the Chinese government who sends these products to Chinese school children and their parents living abroad (according to the CEO of Ryzur).

ShengQuan

On ShengQuan we would like to ask you to review the enclosed presentation of the numbers on mask and the report. You will notice that although they were difficult to read on the images provided in the PO (quite hard to read actually), they are actually the same as in the report. We would like to note that no images were requested in our call on Saturday morning call and we added these at our own initiative. So to be clear: the notified body number on the mask is in line with the notified body number of CCQS.

We also verified their ISO certification number. According to CQM this is valid. Also CNAS shows that CQM is accredited.

Invitation to test

In addition to the documentation requirements, we added the GreenCycl test report on a voluntary basis in each PO. If we both agree that the actual performance of the masks is what counts, we would like to invite you to join us in Vianen with the samples tomorrow to test the samples of both factories yourself once more on your own set-up there. We are convinced that an actual test result explains more about the quality as asked by minister Van Rijn, also during production. Considering the price increase risk on Ryzur, we suggest to place a conditional PO for Ryzur at least, based on a positive DEKRA report and positive test in Vianen.

Best,

5.1.2e [redacted]

Mob. 5.1.2e [redacted]
 Op ma 20 apr. 2020 om 10:50 schreef <5.1.2e [redacted]@lchulpmiddelen.nl>:

Goedemorgen 5.1.2e [redacted]

This morning I did a double check on the certificates, but unfortunately I have to inform you the follow:

- PO1 - Ryzur;
- the CE certificate is NOT correct, and is stated by GTS as a fake document
- the CE certificate is also stated on an NON European norm
- the supplier credibility audit --> contains even an other FAKE CE

certificate

- PO2 - Shengquan
- we are checking the CE certificate with a third party
- but the notified body number on the mask is NOT in line with the notified body number of CCQS
- to summarize there is a serious doubt
- pricing level is far before average

- Credit Check - relief goods alliance BV
- Will be negative due the date of incorporation

based on the above information I have to give a NEGATIVE advise to the LCH management to place this commitment, based on the received information

regards

5.1.2e

Dubbel

3 - 4

Dubbel