

To: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]@rivm.nl]
Cc: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]@amsterdamumc.nl]; [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]@rivm.nl]; [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]@rivm.nl]; [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]@rivm.nl]; [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]@amsterdamumc.nl]
From: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Sent: Mon 11/23/2020 10:01:06 PM
Subject: Re: RESPONSE: Demand to withhold publication by Virus Evolution of article authored by [REDACTED] et al
Received: Mon 11/23/2020 10:01:54 PM

Bizarre situation. Yes, agree.

Verstuurd vanaf mijn iPhone

Op 23 nov. 2020 om 20:05 heeft [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@rivm.nl> het volgende geschreven:

Dear all,

We have agreed the following (based on everybody's thoughts)

Although all of us have serious concerns about the handling of GISAID we have to move forward.

Therefore:

Virus Evolution

- As [REDACTED] will likely not decide to move forward as long as that there is no green light from GISAID but GISAID asks 'approval' from [REDACTED] to continue, not much will happen
- We will withdraw and submit new version without mink GISAID sequences
- Authors will remain as they were
- We will start an new submission, but also e-mail [REDACTED] personally to let him know. We will also include [REDACTED] as he handled the first submission

GISAID

- We will state that we have withdrawn the manuscript and we consider a new manuscript without the use of mink sequences from GISAID. We do not say that we submit that right away, none of their business
- We declare that GISAID data has only be analyzed and shared among registered users. They specifically ask this statement
- We state that we consider the case closed by doing the above.

Any additions?

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [redacted] 5.1.2e @amsterdamumc.nl>
Sent: maandag 23 november 2020 12:08
To: [redacted] 5.1.2e @amsterdamumc.nl>; [redacted] 5.1.2e @rivm.nl>; [redacted] 5.1.2e .2e
[redacted] 5.1.2e @rivm.nl>
Cc: [redacted] 5.1.2e @rivm.nl>; [redacted] 5.1.2e @rivm.nl>; [redacted] 5.1.2e 5.1.2e
[redacted] 5.1.2e @rivm.nl>; [redacted] 5.1.2e @amsterdamumc.nl>
Subject: Re: RESPONSE: Demand to withhold publication by Virus Evolution of article authored by [redacted] 5.1.2e et al

I agree as well. The GISAID letter pertains to the current submission. I don't see how GISAID could have grounds to complain about a new submission that doesn't contain sequences from GISAID.

[redacted] 5.1.2e Department of Medical Microbiology | Amsterdam University
Medical Center, University of Amsterdam



3 - 9

dubbel