

To: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]@rivm.nl; [REDACTED] [REDACTED]@rivm.nl; [REDACTED]
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Fri 3/19/2021 5:04:33 PM
Subject: her-infectie covid in Denmark paper
Received: Fri 3/19/2021 5:04:34 PM

Hoi hoi,

Kwam net dit paper over her-infectie in Denmark tegen in the Lancet (twee dagen geleden online): [Assessment of protection against reinfection with SARS-CoV-2 among 4 million PCR-tested individuals in Denmark in 2020: a population-level observational study - ScienceDirect](#).

Mooie studie! Nog niet helemaal doorgenomen, maar belangrijkste results en conclusie: Protection against repeat infection was 80.5% (95% CI 75.4–84.5). ... among those aged 65 years and older, observed protection against repeat infection was 47.1% (95% CI 24.7–62.8). We found no difference in estimated protection against repeat infection by sex (male 78.4% [72.1–83.2] vs female 79.1% [73.9–83.3]) or evidence of waning protection over time (3–6 months of follow-up 79.3% [74.4–83.3] vs ≥7 months of follow-up 77.7% [70.9–82.9]). Our findings could inform decisions on which groups should be vaccinated and advocate for vaccination of previously infected individuals because natural protection, especially among older people, cannot be relied on.

Geen gegevens over ernst van ziekte bij her-infectie volgens mij... Anyway, interessant om iets vergelijkbaars met onze surveillance data te doen denk ik (als mogelijk).

Fijn weekend!

Hartelijke groet / Kind regards,

[REDACTED]
5.1.2e

National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM)

[REDACTED]
PO. Box 1 [REDACTED] | 3720 BA Bilthoven | the Netherlands

E [REDACTED]@rivm.nl
[REDACTED]
5.1.2e