

To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@uantwerpen.be>; [REDACTED] (NIH/NCI) [E] <[REDACTED]@dc37a.nci.nih.gov>; [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@ggd.amsterdam.nl>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@cancer.dk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@cancer.dk>;
 [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@clinic.cat>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@clinic.cat>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@cam.ac.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@cam.ac.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mf.uni-lj.si>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mf.uni-lj.si>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@uantwerpen.be>; [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@rivm.nl>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@uantwerpen.be>
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Mon 3/8/2021 5:07:37 PM
Subject: RE: Draft manuscript for submission - provisional acceptance
Received: Mon 3/8/2021 5:24:08 PM
[HPV Vaccination in Adults v7.1 Mdp JTS ELF.docx](#)

Dear [REDACTED], [REDACTED], et al.,

I made just minor edits to the version that [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] had edits. Thanks for bearing with me re the extensive edits I did to the previous version.

Please keep us posted re submission.

Cheers

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@uantwerpen.be>
Sent: Friday, March 05, 2021 10:06 AM
To: [REDACTED] (NIH/NCI) [E] <[REDACTED]@dc37a.nci.nih.gov>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mcgill.ca>; [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@ggd.amsterdam.nl>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@cancer.dk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@cancer.dk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@clinic.cat>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@cam.ac.uk>;
 [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mf.uni-lj.si>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@uantwerpen.be>; [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@rivm.nl>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@uantwerpen.be>
Subject: RE: Draft manuscript for submission - provisional acceptance

Dear Co-authors,

Thank you very much for your comments and feedback in the past few weeks. We have received provisional acceptance to submit the manuscript to BMC Proceedings.

Kindly find the most updated version for submission. I have left in few comments for some of the co-authors to be able to check responses to their comments.

I would be very thankful if you can send your feedback/acknowledgement of this version by Monday (March 8) 16h00 CET.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (NIH/NCI) [E] <[REDACTED]@dc37a.nci.nih.gov>
Sent: Friday, February 19 2021 7:13 PM
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@uantwerpen.be>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mcgill.ca>; [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@uantwerpen.be>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@ggd.amsterdam.nl>;
 [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@cancer.dk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@cancer.dk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@clinic.cat>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@cam.ac.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@clinic.cat>;
 [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mf.uni-lj.si>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@uantwerpen.be>
Subject: Re: Co-authors - Updated Antwerp Meeting Report Manuscript

At this point, I agree with [REDACTED] that is better to get this out sooner rather than later and so my vote is for [REDACTED] and colleagues publish the meeting report according their wishes.

Best regards, [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@uantwerpen.be>
Date: Wednesday, February 17, 2021 at 11:40 AM
To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]@mcgill.ca>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@uantwerpen.be>; [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@ggd.amsterdam.nl>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@cancer.dk>;
 [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@clinic.cat>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@clinic.cat>; [REDACTED] (NIH/NCI) [E] <[REDACTED]@dc37a.nci.nih.gov>;
 [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@cam.ac.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@cam.ac.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@clinic.cat>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@clinic.cat>;
 [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mf.uni-lj.si>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mf.uni-lj.si>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@uantwerpen.be>
Subject: RE: Co-authors - Updated Antwerp Meeting Report Manuscript

Dear [REDACTED],

Thank you for your feedback.

We definitely will consider your proposal for our future outputs. Having an elaborated report on our website and a more focused concise message in the peer-reviewed literature is definitely an option to take into account.

Kind regards,

5.1.2e

From: 5.1.2e 5.1.2e 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @mcgill.ca>
 Sent: 17 February 2021 03:53
 To: 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @uantwerpen.be>; 5.1.2e 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @uantwerpen.be>; 5.1.2e 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @ggd.amsterdam.nl>; 5.1.2e @cancer.dk' <5.1.2e @cancer.dk>; 5.1.2e @clinic.cat; 5.1.2e @dc37a.nci.nih.gov; 5.1.2e @cam.ac.uk; 5.1.2e @clinic.cat; 5.1.2e @mf.uni-lj.si; 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @uantwerpen.be>
 Subject: RE: Co-authors - Updated Antwerp Meeting Report Manuscript

Following up... I completed my edits and comments (enclosed). It is a comprehensive document but it needs final polishing and careful final editing of some statements that are unsupported.

Again, please consider my proposal going forward. Just because there are open access journals that have no word count limits does not mean that we should publish these lengthy meeting reports. We can start with a full report for filing in the website but then we put our most concise message out in up to 3000-word summaries.

Great job!

5.1.2e

5.1.2e 5.1.2e 5.1.2e

5.1.2e

From: 5.1.2e 5.1.2e 5.1.2e
 Sent: Tuesday, February 16, 2021 8:32 AM
 To: 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @uantwerpen.be>; 5.1.2e 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @uantwerpen.be>; 5.1.2e 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @ggd.amsterdam.nl>; 5.1.2e @cancer.dk' <5.1.2e @cancer.dk>; 5.1.2e @clinic.cat; 5.1.2e @dc37a.nci.nih.gov; 5.1.2e @cam.ac.uk; 5.1.2e @clinic.cat; 5.1.2e @mf.uni-lj.si; 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @uantwerpen.be>
 Subject: RE: Co-authors - Updated Antwerp Meeting Report Manuscript

Ok, 5.1.2e, I will complete my review but an 8000-word meeting report is not very inviting for people to read in a journal. I suggest that in the future, you should file a full in-depth version of the report in your website but the version to be submitted to a journal should be a condensed one with no more than 3000 words. The published version could have links to the website's long version.

I will complete my editing today or tomorrow.

Cheers

5.1.2e

From: 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @uantwerpen.be>
 Sent: Tuesday, February 16, 2021 5:55 AM
 To: 5.1.2e 5.1.2e 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @mcgill.ca>; 5.1.2e 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @uantwerpen.be>; 5.1.2e 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @ggd.amsterdam.nl>; 5.1.2e @cancer.dk' <5.1.2e @cancer.dk>; 5.1.2e @clinic.cat; 5.1.2e @dc37a.nci.nih.gov; 5.1.2e @cam.ac.uk; 5.1.2e @clinic.cat; 5.1.2e @mf.uni-lj.si; 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @uantwerpen.be>
 Subject: RE: Co-authors - Updated Antwerp Meeting Report Manuscript

Dear 5.1.2e, Dear All,

First of all I would like to thank you for your very valuable feedback and time already spent on this meeting report. We fully understand the concern.

It is clear there are different approaches possible when reporting back the interesting presentations and discussions we had over the two days.

As these are meeting on invitation only we should provide sufficient transparency on what has been discussed. Our current aim regarding these meeting reports is to have clear sections and sufficient detail on what has been discussed. Readers are not expected to go through the whole document but can look at the parts of their interest. Note that our Colombia meeting report was also around 8000 words.

The meeting is from 2019 and it would be nice to finalize it as soon as possible. Please note that this is the second round for review and already 3 co-authors approved this version. In addition we have two other meeting reports in preparation.

Therefore I would prefer not to make major changes on this manuscript that will be submitted as meeting report.

5.1.2e, I propose that we put this discussion on the best format of meeting output on the agenda of our next adviser meeting.

Would it be an option to add a paragraph on role of the co-authors in reviewing the manuscript? E.g. All authors participated at the meeting and support the lessons learnt and conclusions. XYZ reviewed their contribution to the meeting, UVW reviewed the whole manuscript.

Looking forward to your feedback.

Kind regards,

5.1.2e

From: 5.1.2e 5.1.2e 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @mcgill.ca>
 Sent: 14 February 2021 00:51
 To: 5.1.2e 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @uantwerpen.be>; 5.1.2e 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @ggd.amsterdam.nl>;
 5.1.2e @cancer.dk' <5.1.2e @cancer.dk>; 5.1.2e @clinic.cat; 5.1.2e @dc37a.nci.nih.gov;
 5.1.2e @cam.ac.uk; 5.1.2e @clinic.cat; 5.1.2e @mf.uni-lj.si; 5.1.2e
 <5.1.2e @uantwerpen.be>
 Cc: 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @uantwerpen.be>
 Subject: RE: Co-authors - Updated Antwerp Meeting Report Manuscript

Dear 5.1.2e, 5.1.2e, et al.,

A meeting report at more than 8000 words is too much. I edited it to about 1/3 of the way and then I fell asleep. The report reviews all presentations in mind-numbing detail. I suggest you condense each presentation to its main conclusions, which will also deal with the need to obtain permissions for graph material that appeared elsewhere.

I strongly suggest that you condense the entire manuscript to at most 4000 words and then recirculate. It was a great meeting; we do not want readers to give up on it.

Cheers

5.1.2e

5.1.2e 5.1.2e, DrPH, PhD (Hon.), O.C., FRSC, FCAHS; Professor and Chair, Department of Oncology; Director, Division of Cancer Epidemiology, McGill University; 5100 Maisonneuve Blvd West, Suite 720; Montreal, QC, Canada H4A3T2; Phone: +1-514-398-6032; Editor-in-Chief, Preventive Medicine; Preventive Medicine Reports

From: 5.1.2e 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @uantwerpen.be>
 Sent: Sunday, February 7, 2021 5:19 PM
 To: 5.1.2e 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @ggd.amsterdam.nl>; 5.1.2e @cancer.dk' <5.1.2e @cancer.dk>;
 5.1.2e @clinic.cat; 5.1.2e @dc37a.nci.nih.gov; 5.1.2e @cam.ac.uk; 5.1.2e 5.1.2e 5.1.2e
 <5.1.2e @mcgill.ca>; 5.1.2e @clinic.cat; 5.1.2e @mf.uni-lj.si; 5.1.2e
 <5.1.2e @uantwerpen.be>
 Cc: 5.1.2e <5.1.2e @uantwerpen.be>
 Subject: RE: Co-authors - Updated Antwerp Meeting Report Manuscript

Dear All,

Thank you very much for your feedback on the manuscript. We have addressed some of the comments and the relevant speaker/co-author have responded to some questions as well.

We will keep the focus of the manuscript as a meeting report for now due to time constraints. Kindly find the edited manuscript attached in the email. I will be very thankful to have your feedback soon.

Kind regards,

5.1.2e

From: [REDACTED] 5.1.2e [REDACTED] 5.1.2e <[REDACTED] 5.1.2e @ggd.amsterdam.nl>

Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 2:06 PM

To: [REDACTED] 5.1.2e @cancer.dk' <[REDACTED] 5.1.2e @cancer.dk>; [REDACTED] 5.1.2e @clinic.cat; [REDACTED] 5.1.2e @dc37a.nci.nih.gov; [REDACTED] 5.1.2e <[REDACTED] 5.1.2e @uantwerpen.be>; [REDACTED] 5.1.2e @cam.ac.uk; [REDACTED] 5.1.2e @mcgill.ca; [REDACTED] 5.1.2e @clinic.cat; [REDACTED] 5.1.2e @mf.uni-lj.si

Cc: [REDACTED] 5.1.2e <[REDACTED] 5.1.2e @uantwerpen.be>

Subject: RE: Co-authors - Updated Antwerp Meeting Report Manuscript

Dear [REDACTED] 5.1.2e, [REDACTED] 5.1.2e and all,

Best wishes for 2021!

Thanks for the nice job of summarising the Board Meeting in Antwerp. With apologies for my delayed feedback, please find in the attached version some suggestions. I continued in the version of [REDACTED] 5.1.2e [REDACTED] 5.1.2e.

Best wishes,

[REDACTED] 5.1.2e

[REDACTED] 5.1.2e [REDACTED] 5.1.2e

[REDACTED] 5.1.2e [REDACTED] 5.1.2e Amsterdam UMC, location AMC, University of Amsterdam | [REDACTED] 5.1.2e
[REDACTED] 5.1.2e Public Health Service Amsterdam

[REDACTED] 5.1.2e | [REDACTED] 5.1.2e @ggd.amsterdam.nl | Nieuwe Achtergracht 100, 1018 WT Amsterdam |

<https://eur01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.ggd.amsterdam.nl%2F&data=04%7C01%7CNayab.Waheed%40uantwerpen.be%7C47f44c6f23894075874b08d8d501f756%7C792e08fb2d544a8eaf72202548136ef6%7C0%7C0%7C637493551729278297%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQljojV2luMzliLCJBTiI6IklhaWw%7CJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdta=a=DfkdKvPs1tuKT2Fxn0ezJMEwrBKVnut%2F9GXwsSZ0xc%3D&reserved=0>

Van: [REDACTED] 5.1.2e @cancer.dk <[REDACTED] 5.1.2e @cancer.dk>

Verzonden: vrijdag 25 december 2020 15:36

Aan: [REDACTED] 5.1.2e @clinic.cat; [REDACTED] 5.1.2e @dc37a.nci.nih.gov; [REDACTED] 5.1.2e @uantwerpen.be;

[REDACTED] 5.1.2e @cam.ac.uk; [REDACTED] 5.1.2e @mcgill.ca; [REDACTED] 5.1.2e [REDACTED] 5.1.2e <[REDACTED] 5.1.2e @ggd.amsterdam.nl>;

[REDACTED] 5.1.2e @clinic.cat; [REDACTED] 5.1.2e @mf.uni-lj.si

CC: [REDACTED] 5.1.2e @uantwerpen.be

Onderwerp: SV: Co-authors - Updated Antwerp Meeting Report Manuscript

Dear [REDACTED] 5.1.2e, [REDACTED] 5.1.2e, all

Merry Christmas to all of you.

Thanks for the manuscript. I think the format and focus is fine.

Please find attached the manuscript with my comments.

I wish you a Happy New Year and hope that the coming year will be less influenced by Corona

[REDACTED] 5.1.2e

Kind regards,

[REDACTED] 5.1.2e [REDACTED] 5.1.2e
[REDACTED] 5.1.2e

Danish Cancer Society Research Center

Strandboulevarden 49

DK-2100 Copenhagen

[REDACTED] 5.1.2e

https://eur01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.cancer.dk%2F%3Futm_source%3Demail%26utm_medium%3Dmedarbejderemail%26utm_campaign%3Dmedarbejderemail%26utm_content%3Dcancerdk&data=04%7C01%7CNayab.Waheed%40uantwerpen.be%7C47f44c6f23894075874b08d8d501f756%7C792e08fb2d544a8eaf72202548136ef6%7C0%7C0%7C637493551729288254%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQljojV2luMzliLCJBTiI6IklhaWw%7CJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdta=WqpX%2BJaV2BnlwOwURXE%2FaLshY3FNW4Yo3BTpjhSPE94%3D&reserved=0

<https://eur01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.cancer.dk%2Finternational%2F&data=04%7C01%7CNayab.W>

ahead%40uantwerpen.be%7C47f44c6f23894075874b08d8d501f756%7C792e08fb2d544a8eaf72202548136ef6%7C0%7C0%7C637493551729288254%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoimC4wLjAwMDAILCJQljoiv2luMzliLCJBTi6lk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=JY7us%2BsRnT9eJxNRnFt283wXkVnOy%2ByvJGUqc95dE%2Fo%3D&reserved=0 |
<https://eur01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.cancer.dk%2Fom-os%2Fprivatlivspolitik%2F&data=04%7C01%7CNayab.Waheed%40uantwerpen.be%7C47f44c6f23894075874b08d8d501f756%7C792e08fb2d544a8eaf72202548136ef6%7C0%7C0%7C637493551729288209%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoimC4wLjAwMDAILCJQljoiv2luMzliLCJBTi6lk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=csTJSgZRCJjdP4HRqGjwc6zjKeJ8640c5waY7k1GPM%3D&reserved=0>

Fra: [REDACTED] (ICGON) <[REDACTED]@clinic.cat>

Sendt: 24. december 2020 12:05

Til: [REDACTED] (NIH/NCI) [E] <[REDACTED]@dc37a.nci.nih.gov>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@uantwerpen.be>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@cam.ac.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mcgill.ca>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@ggd.amsterdam.nl>; [REDACTED] via Hospital Clínic Barcelona <[REDACTED]@clinic.cat>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mf.uni-lj.si>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@CANCER.DK>

Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@uantwerpen.be>

Emne: Re: Co-authors - Updated Antwerp Meeting Report Manuscript

Dear [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and all,

Many thanks for sending again the manuscript. It is a very nice work. I enjoy reading it!

I agree with [REDACTED] about the focus. However, I would be happy also if you decide to go for a more extensive commentary. The adult's vaccination is a very relevant issue and I'm sure that a detailed work on that will become an important reference.

I will also wait before reviewing again the manuscript (I agree with [REDACTED] that there are still some pending modifications to be done, right?)

Warm regards and Merry Christmas to everybody!! Hoping that the next year will bring Health to everybody and calm...

Take care and keep safe...

[REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]

Institut Clínic Ginecologia Obstetrícia i Neonatologia (ICGON)
 Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS)
 Hospital Clínic de Barcelona.
 [REDACTED] Universitat de Barcelona, Spain
 [REDACTED]

De: [REDACTED] (NIH/NCI) [E] <[REDACTED]@dc37a.nci.nih.gov>

Enviat el: divendres, 18 de desembre de 2020 19:47

Per a: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@uantwerpen.be>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@cam.ac.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mcgill.ca>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@ggd.amsterdam.nl>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@clinic.cat>; [REDACTED] via Hospital Clínic Barcelona <[REDACTED]@clinic.cat>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mf.uni-lj.si>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@cancer.dk>

A/c: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@uantwerpen.be>

Tema: Re: Co-authors - Updated Antwerp Meeting Report Manuscript

[REDACTED], [REDACTED] and others,

On balance I think it's ok to focus the manuscript as a meeting report, given that it seems to be the standard operating procedure for the Board. A follow up commentary on knowledge gaps and other aspects of the impact of adult vaccination not covered in the meeting, such as potential for reducing costs of screening programs, seems worthwhile. Since the manuscript hasn't been modified much since my last evaluation, I'll wait until the additional changes are incorporated before reviewing it again.

Best, [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@uantwerpen.be>

Date: Friday, December 18, 2020 at 7:48 AM

To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@cam.ac.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mcgill.ca>; [REDACTED] (NIH/NCI) [E] <[REDACTED]@dc37a.nci.nih.gov>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@ggd.amsterdam.nl>; [REDACTED] (ICGON) <[REDACTED]@clinic.cat>; [REDACTED] via Hospital Clínic Barcelona <[REDACTED]@clinic.cat>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mf.uni-lj.si>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@cancer.dk>

Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@uantwerpen.be>

Subject: Co-authors - Updated Antwerp Meeting Report Manuscript

Dear Co-authors,

Kindly find the updated (polished) version of the manuscript attached in the email.

We are thankful to some of the co-authors who sent their comments and suggestions. Some of the comments have been addressed, some will be adapted in the next version, and for some we will reach out to relevant speakers.

We had an exchange with one of the co-author on the context of manuscript. We aim for a publication as a meeting report. However, for a manuscript to be published in a peer reviewed journal we do make further adaptations e.g. by adding some additional references (which ideally would also be identified in the meeting background document). This is often also requested by reviewers.

We do think it's important into account co-authors pointing to relevant topics related to the subject that were not covered during the meeting. We could list relevant topics that were not discussed in a limitation section where we point to the fact this a "meeting report". Or we could move completely to a more compelling commentary, requiring definitely more work but if most of the co-authors approve that is also an option.

I would like to let you know that we are considering to draft a commentary focusing on research gaps that have been identified in this meeting report. Any comments or thoughts are welcome.

I will be very thankful if it's possible for you to send your comments early/mid next week.
Thank you very much for your time and input.

Kind regards,

5.1.2e

5.1.2e | 5.1.2e
5.1.2e

Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences
Vaccine & Infectious Disease Institute
5.1.2e
Universiteitsplein 1 – 2610 Antwerp (Wilrijk)
5.1.2e @uantwerpen.be

From: 5.1.2e | 5.1.2e <5.1.2e@uantwerpen.be>

Date: Thursday, 3 December 2020 at 11:06

To: 5.1.2e | 5.1.2e <5.1.2e@cam.ac.uk>, "5.1.2e | 5.1.2e | 5.1.2e" <5.1.2e@mccgill.ca>, "5.1.2e | 5.1.2e | 5.1.2e" <5.1.2e@dc37a.nci.nih.gov>, "5.1.2e | 5.1.2e | 5.1.2e" <5.1.2e@ggd.amsterdam.nl>, "5.1.2e | 5.1.2e | 5.1.2e" <5.1.2e@clinic.cat>, "5.1.2e | 5.1.2e | 5.1.2e" <5.1.2e@mf.uni-lj.si>, "5.1.2e | 5.1.2e | 5.1.2e" <5.1.2e@hospital-clinic-barcelona.com>, "5.1.2e | 5.1.2e | 5.1.2e" <5.1.2e@cancer.dk>

Cc: 5.1.2e <5.1.2e@uantwerpen.be>

Subject: Co-authors - Antwerp Meeting Report Manuscript

Dear Co-authors,

I would like to notify you that we will be working on the manuscript to polish the language. In the meantime, if you have any content related comments please feel free to send me your comments.

If you wish to provide comments once the polished version is available, kindly notify me and I will follow up with the polished version soon.

Kind regards,

5.1.2e

GGD Amsterdam streeft naar optimale dienstverlening en zorgvuldige afhandeling van e-mailverkeer. Als een e-mail niet voor u is bestemd, verzocht de gemeente u vriendelijk ons van de juiste adressering op de hoogte te stellen en de e-mail te verwijderen zonder de informatie te gebruiken en te delen met anderen. Voor verdere informatie over de rechten op informatie, zie <https://eur01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.ggd.amsterdam.nl%2Fproclaimer&data=04%7C01%7CNayab.Waheed%40uantwerpen.be%7C47f44c6f23894075874b08d8d501f756%7C792e08fb2d544a8eaf72202548136ef6%7C0%7C0%7C637493551729298209%7CUnknown%7CTWFPbGZsb3d8eyJWljojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQljojV2luMzliLCJBtil6lk1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=AZQKCn1JRhr9fhwctnFsyJok4VvGNzw3GN8%2BpX27K7l%3D&reserved=0>