To: 5.1.2e [5.1.2e@rivm.nl]; 5.1.2e [5.1.2e@rivm.nl]; 5.1.2e [5.1.2e@rivm.nl]
From: 5.1.2e
Sent: Thur 3/4/2021 10:17:32 AM
Subject: Re: FW: VE after one dose
Received: Thur 3/4/2021 10:17:33 AM
Screenshot 2021-03-04 at 10.47.02.png

Hi 5.1.2e

Unfortunately, I'm unable to answer your question about why the older age groups aren't covered with pfizer to a greater extent. These calculations are purely based on the distribution schedules that I receive, and I do not change them before putting them into the model. I'm also unsure why the number of pfizer doses differs by scenario, that again is a feature of the distribution schedule (see attached image).

Sorry I can't be of more help.

Best,

5.1.2e

From: 5.1.2e
Sent: Thursday, 4 March 2021 09:58:42
To: 5.1.2e; 5.1.2e; 5.1.2e
Subject: RE: FW: VE after one dose

Hi 5.1.2e

I now had a chance to look at this in more detail, thanks for your additional work.

5.1.2e asked this morning to the distribution centre 5.1.2e about planned arrivals of Pfizer: 5.1.1c per week this month, 5.1.1c per week from April onwards. For the 4 month period March-June, this adds up to nearly 7 million doses Pfizer.

In your excel, for Pfizer, if I add up 1st and 2nd doses, I get to between 6 and 8.8 million doses Pfizer – 7 million falls in this range, but I do not understand why the total number of doses differs by scenario?

Then I had a question about the figures. In scenario B, I would have thought by July all older age groups would have been covered with Pfizer (so e.g. all 2 million people aged 60-69) – but in the figure it is only about 5.1.1c or so, whereas a lot of younger people also have been allocated vaccine. How can this be if you assume old to young as an implementation strategy?

With best wishes,

5.1.2e

5.1.2e 5.1.2e

5.1.2e 5.1.2e 5.1.2e 5.1.2e 5.1.2e 5.1.2e
5.1.2e 5.1.2e

Dubbel

Pagina's zijn verwijderd 1111490

2 - 6

Dubbel