To: @rivm.nl] Cc: 5.1.2e @rivm.nl] From: Sent: Thur 1/28/2021 7:20:41 AM RE: draft report for ministry and health council Subject: Received: Thur 1/28/2021 7:20:41 AM Hi 5.1.2e Good point, you already mention it briefly, but good to include this as well 5.1.2e From: 5.1.2e < 5.1.2e @rivm.nl> Sent: woensdag 27 januari 2021 19:33 To: @rivm.nl> @rivm.nl> Subject: RE: draft report for ministry and health council Hi 5.1.2e The conclusion and additional text on limitations is fine with me. Do you think we need to mention another limitation is that we simulated this group in isolation? Therefore the model doesn't explicitly include effects of vaccinating other groups, social distancing measures, or outside forces of infection. Of course we try to address this by assuming a constant force of infection, but that's in quite a simplistic way. Best, 5.1.2e From: 5.1.2e < 5.1.2e @rivm.nl> Sent: Wednesday, 27 January 2021 18:04 To: 5.1.2e < 5.1.2e @rivm.nl> @rivm.nl> Subject: RE: draft report for ministry and health council Hi 5.1.2e Thank you so much! the simulations and text are ok with me. Two questions: Is this conclusion ok with you? Feel free to improve

The health benefits of earlier vaccination of the 60-70 year olds living at home with the AstraZeneca vaccine,

rather than later vaccination with the BioNTech-Pfizer/ Moderna vaccine, occur only when the AstraZeneca vaccine efficacy against disease in this age group is sufficiently high. [January 28th 2021]

In addition I have written a few words at the end. Would this be ok with you?



