## Score grid for 2nd COVID-19 call

Score	D	С	В	А	A+
	(Non-	(Fair/acceptable)	(Good)	(Very good)	(Outstanding)
Criterion	competitive/weak)		A CONTROL AG		. Markatara Ma
	1	2	3	4	5
<ol> <li>Necessity and urgency of the research (including the</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>There is no necessity and urgency to perform the project now.</li> <li>or</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The necessity and urgency to perform the project now is less proncounced.</li> <li>or</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The necessity and urgency to perform the project is acceptable.</li> <li>or</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The necessity and urgency to perform the project is high.</li> <li>or</li> <li>The project proposal is timely. It</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The necessity and urgency to perform the project is high.</li> <li>and</li> <li>The project proposal is timely. It</li> </ul>
ability to conduct this research during this phase of the pandemic), its contribution to the intended solution and cocictal	<ul> <li>The project fits in other structural funding channels.</li> <li>or</li> <li>The project proposal is clearly Ill-timed: it is clear that the phase of the COVID-19 pandemic</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The timing of the project proposal is suboptimal. There is evidence that the phase of the COVID-19 pandemic or its studied consequences will hinder the execution of important parts of the project (in Flanders).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>There is some reservation on the timing of the project proposal There is evidence that that the phase of the COVID-19 pandemic or its studied consequences will will somewhat hinder the execution of parts of the project.</li> </ul>	may be anticipated that the phase of the COVID-19 pandemic or its studied consequences match perfectly with conducting this research during this phase of the pandemic. and * The research approach offers a	may be anticipated that the phase of the COVID-19 pandemic or its studied consequences match perfectly with conducting this research during this phase of the pandemic). and • The research approach offers a
societal implementability / impact in the short to medium term for managing the societal consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic	or its studied consequences does not allow conducting the research (in Flanders). or There is a mismatch between the project execution and the solution and societal implementability / impact in the short to managing the societal	<ul> <li>The project approach is only partially relevant for the intended solution and societal implementability / impact in the short to medium term for managing the societal consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic.</li> </ul>	or * The research approach offers a relevant strategic added value for the realisation of the Intended solution and societal implementability / impact in the short to medium term for managing the societal consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic.	relevant strategic added value for the realisation of the intended solution and societal implementability / impact in the short to medium term for managing the societal consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic.	relevant strategic added value for the realisation of the intended solution and societal implementability / impact in the short to medium term for managing the societal consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic. The intended applications are clearly the driving force behind the implementation approach.
! This criterion counts double !	consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic.				
<ol> <li>Added value to state-of-art and clear synergy and complementarity with ongoing</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>The proposal contains structural flaws or offers <u>no</u> added value to the international state-of-the-art or ongoing (inter)national</li> </ul>	The added value to the international state-of-the-art and to ongoing research or ongoing (inter)national initiatives is limited or insufficiently elaborated in the application.	<ul> <li>The added value to the international state-of-the-art and to ongoing research is less pronounced.</li> <li>or</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The scientific goals build upon and offer substantial added value to the international state-of-the- art and to ongoing research.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The proposal is highly innovative and unique. It distinguishes itself in an outstanding manner from the current state-of-art at the international level.</li> </ul>
(inter)national initiatives	initiatives. or * There is no synergy or complementarity with ongoing (inter)national	<ul> <li>There is only very limited synergy or complementarity with ongoing (inter)national initiatives.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Synergy and complementarity with ongoing (inter)national initiatives is less pronounced.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The proposal has a clear synergy and complementarity with with other initiatives such that, if successful, it has the potential to highly accelerate (inter)national research initiatives.</li> </ul>	and The proposal has a clear synergy and complementarity with other initiatives such that, if successful, it has the potential to highly accelerate (inter)national research

Score grid Covid-19 call II 2020

	initiatives.		initiatives.
2. Quality of the research (research approach, methodology, work plan, budget)	<ul> <li>The realization of the scientific goals is not feasible with the proposed research approach or not substantiated.</li> <li>or</li> <li>It is insufficiently substantiated how the scientific goals will be realized.</li> <li>or</li> <li>The project planning is unrealistic, not substantiated or absent.</li> <li>or</li> <li>The project planning is evaluated as unrealistic is evaluated as unrealistic within the project boundaries.</li> <li>There is an important imit between the research work of the requested level of person and resources.</li> </ul>	vs or stural order     gaps or superfluous activities in attainment of the scientific goals.     substantiated, and suited to the realization of the scientific goals.       or     *       *     The project planning contains minor inconsistensies or is need of small adjustments. or     *       or     *       *     The scientific minor inconsistensies or is need of small adjustments. or     *       or     *       *     The scientific goals minor inconsistensies or is need of small adjustments. or     *       or     *       *     The scientific goals mostly reachable within the project.       or     *       *     The level of requested resources is acceptable provided that the project budget is moderately adjusted.	<ul> <li>The research approach is well substantiated, and fully matched to the realization of the scientific goals.</li> <li>and</li> <li>The project planning is well elaborated.</li> <li>and</li> <li>The scientific goals are challenging but accurate, and fully reachable within the project.</li> <li>and</li> <li>There is a good balance between the research workload and the requested level of resources.</li> </ul>
3. Expertise and track record of the consortium (also in view of the immediate start of the project)	<ul> <li>Essential research expertise is lacking in order to be able research within the foreseen timing.</li> <li>Important modifications needed to the composition consortium in order to carry research project.</li> <li>or</li> <li>A considerable lag time is ex- before research activities in initiated</li> </ul>	f the acceptable but there are some start proposed research activities can start promptly and * The available research activities can start promptly and * The available research competence and track record is	and track record is excellent. Key partners of the consortium are "top level" research performers in the international context and

Score grid Covid-19 call II 2020