



#### **PIENTER-Corona:**

Prospective serosurveillance study of SARS-CoV-2 in the general population of the Netherlands

#### **Correcting seroprevalence estimates**





# Design PIENTER-3 and PICO1

#### • PIENTER-3:

- Nationwide sample of the Dutch population (2016/2017) to look into protection against VPD
- Two-stage cluster design: six regions, comprising 49 randomly assigned municipalities
- Biobank (including pre-sera) of 7600 participants
- N=6102 participants (80%) gave consent to be approach in the future

#### • PIENTER-Corona (PICO1):

 N=3207 (aged 2-90y, across the NL) provided a self-collected fingerstick blood sample and filled out a questionnaire on risk factors



### Antibody testing and correcting

- Step 1: All PICO1-serum samples were tested for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies - targeted at the S1-part of the spike protein - using our Multiplex immunoassay (Luminex technology)
- **Step 2**: Due to the expected low seroprevalence in this epidemic phase a specificity-optimized cutoff value (99%) for seropositivity was determined, using a validation panel consisting of:
  - 115 PCR-positive samples (including mild and severe COVID-19 patients)
  - 400 controls (i.e., pre-pandemic samples, including a batch of ILIsamples (also HCoVs)), as well as from PIENTER-3 and PIENTER-2)
  - -> Sensitivity at this cutoff (2.37 AU/mL) was 84.4%

#### Antibody testing and correcting

• **Step 3:** Seropositive PICO1-samples and those 25% below the cutoff were retested (n=138) -> GMC was used for further statistical analyses

• **Step 4:** 129/138 PICO1-samples had a pre-pandemic PIENTER-3-sample, and these were tested to correct for false-positivity:

-> We classified PICO1-samples with a seropositive pre-pandemic serum (based on our validated cutoff) as seronegative (blue lines) (n=26)



# 1. AND A

# Antibody testing and correcting





-> Seropositive samples: 76

## Antibody testing and correcting

- Step 5: Statistical correction:
  - A: Calculate apparent weighted prevalence (with e.g., 95% Wilson/ClopperPearson CIs):
    - Correct for the survey design: strata (i.e., regions) and clusters (i.e., municipalities)
    - Include weights to match the distribution of the general public in 2020; here based on sex, age, ethnic background and degree of urbanization
  - **B**: Calculate true weighted prevalence:
    - Correct for test specifics via Rogan-Gladen estimator, with sensitivity of 84.4% and assuming a specificity of 100% after cross-validation with pre-sera, using formula:

$$\frac{awp + SP - 1}{SE + SP - 1} \longrightarrow \frac{0.023 + 1.0 - 1}{0.844 + 1.0 - 1} \longrightarrow 2.8\% (2.1-3.7)$$

Rogan WJ & Gladen B. Am J Epidemiol (1978)



## Additionally: Smooth age-specific seroprevalence

-> Logistic regression in a Generalized Additive Model using penalized splines (mgcv package in R), with additional Rogan&Gladen correction

